To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	\$73A	Semester Spri	Year _	2018 Instru	ictor's N	Name	Rachel	Wolfe.
Major _	Theatre,	Chinese	Minor (if applicable) _			V y	
Status:	☐ First year	Sophomore	□ Junior	☐ Senior	2	□ Gradu	ate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	Disagr	ee			Agree
The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	14	5
The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	5
The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	5
The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	5
Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful		_		V	
learning tools.	1 ((D)	3	4	5
The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	(3) 4	5
	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I think most people feel the essays are busy work, myself included they only cover one or two people and will just truck on a sunch of ideas. So it all over the place workent covering anothing. Especially with the leagh. More regular, short essays would be better, to lover the material vector regularly.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagi	Disagree		Agree		
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 5		
	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 5		
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4) 5		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	A) 5	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4 5	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4/5	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
					•	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

		Disagree	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1 2 3	3 4 5
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2 (3) 4 5 3 (4) 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I don't think any of the assignments were overs stuff we didn't cover, but I also wasn't prepared for the toots -> didn't know short we readed to know at all, dodn't know the toot layout. A test need out such specialized should have a strong guide. Not to have it is should have a strong guide. Not to have it is to tay us learn

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

٥.	Overall first actor and Source Dividance.	Poor		Ex	cellent	
a. b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	1 1	3			

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I like reading responses, it atteast encourages me to so the reading. I guess I flit live they were part of a participation grade though. I have another about talking so it made me feel butter, the I was able to contribute in ensterning, but I gress that wasn't the case?

Char about tests. Change the essays.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

		Semester 5	ng Year 2	Instructor	r's Name <u>Rachel</u>	Wolfe
Major _	Theatre	•	Minor (if	applicable)		
Status:	☐ First year	☐ Sophomore	 ☑ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disag	ree		A	Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	(3)	4	5
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	5
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically	1	2	3	4	5
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	(3)	4	5
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful					
	learning tools.	1	2	(3)	4	5
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4	5
						•

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Instructor your clear lectures however, often just left the class stranded for 30 min in all class discussion with sometimes very little background on how the form of theathe relates to culture, society etc...

Feeding responses due every single day were not helpful and often became fedious rather than educational.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		A	gree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	5	
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	(4)	5	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

while I Lissagrae with the time taken on certian forms of theatre/
geographic areas the course mostly followed an organized time line which
the instructor was prepaired for.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 💋 3	4 5
	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 (3	4 5
	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3	4 🤣
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 🗿	4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

1	2	3	42 5	
1 1	2 2	3	4) 5 4) 5	
				1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Papers were very CONSISTENT with lovese conten however tests

were questionable and Sometimes had unknown expectations

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	_		E	xcellent
a. b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	1 1	2 2	3	4 4	_

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

good in lectures but fails at leading conversations and often does not give students a full view of the history they are leasning.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Its more the theater theory than theater history

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Preceq \) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. R373A Semester Spring Year 2018 Instructor's Name Rachal Walfe Minor (if applicable) English Status: ☐ First year □ Sophomore □ Junior Senior ☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2	(3) 4 5
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 2	3 4 5
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1 2	(3) 4 5
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2	3 (4) 5
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful		_
	learning tools.	1 2	3 (4) 5
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2	3 4 5
_			

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Professor Wolfe had us do a lot of readings of made some we reviewed suportant movements/concepts Atheatr I felt that some of the readings houre discussion 51 down people's lideas who being encouraging. 2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree	Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2	3 4 5
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2	3 (4) 5
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2	3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. I felt that Post wolfe had a really rigid plan/structure for the whole semester but each class felt a little when it came to discussion. We would get on tangents she waldn't direct our conversations effective

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2 (3) 4 5
	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 3) 4 5
	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3	4 5
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3	4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Prof Walle made sure we understood the mater at respecting a variet - often felt like she would A you were stightly wrong Updated January 2012

	Andri ustori di Ziruri di Cirini di	Disag	ree	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3 4 5
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3 4 5 3 4 5
c.	The instructor did a morough job of evaluating my work.			

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I feel that Foot wolfe evaluated my was however I feel like there was very 1746 or explanation of papers of tests of glitters. Horrows Theatre history classes I've taken have always hade studyguide + she didn't provide one.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

••		Poor		Ex	cellent	
a. After carefully b. After carefully	considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	1 1	<u>3</u>			

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I think Post Wolfe brings a fin energy anto the room that is unique bet is not the best abluncts ablung discussions and supporting students' altempts @ the inderstanding + waking through difficult concepts.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I think this course was mostly skay. My briggest issue was the amount of reading and the lack of help - prep for tests + papers.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	THTR 373/0	Semester Diy	ng Year	2018 Instructor	's Name Racket	Wolfe
Major Th	eptre 11th 9	Comm. Stud	iet Minor (i	f applicable)	r r	
Status:	☐ First year	Sophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student	:

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagr	ee		Α	gree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	(4)	5
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4)	5
	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	5
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful					
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (3)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

felt very motivated to not only do the assignments but research further into these topics

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

_		Disagr	ee		Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 9	
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

This was probably my most structured class, and she would always clarify it needed

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2 3	4 0
	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 (3) 4 5
	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3	4 🕥
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3	4) 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

moterial was enopping on its own but could use some direction in discussions

		Disagree	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1 2	3 4 O y
b. с.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2 C	3) 4 5 3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

we could've an reany used a study quide * more specific review of what you warm were looking for on the test

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

٥,	Overall first actor and Course Divardation	Poor		Excellent
a. b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 (5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

biggest thing is that it would be helpful to provide some discussion questions to structure our discussion & maybe notivate people to talk more

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

My Mills love and passion for these topics made the class very enjoyable and made me even more excited and interested in the material.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course# THTR 373A Semester Sering Year 2018 Instructor's Name Rachel Wolfe

Major Psychology + Theatre Arts Minor (if applicable)

Status:

| First year | Sophomore | Junior | Senior | Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

_		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4) 5
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (5)
	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 5
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	(4) 5
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				~
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Rochel is very knowledgable and great at presenting and claritying that knowledge! Information was mostly Glear, but occasionally I was unsure how to organize my noted/what to write down.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Α	Agree	
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4	(5)	
	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.		2				
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I alward understood what was expected of me for each

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

	Disagre	ee		P	Agree
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	. 1	2	3	4	(5)
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	(5)
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Rachel is great at listening to and considering

Students insights as well as claritying misunderstandings!

		Disag	ree			Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	(5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4	5
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1		3	4	9

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

A study guide or more preparation/veview for the midtern mer have been heleful, but I felt otherwise prepared!

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

U	Poor		Excelle	nt
a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>.b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>.	_	 _	4 <u>(5)</u> 4 <u>(5)</u>	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Rauhe) is great at answering avestions, clarifying learning, and facilitating engaging discussion that leads to major learning points!

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I think many the Students tolking this class may not have token a history class in a while, so reminding us to pass attention to dates may be helpful!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box □ and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor

Course# TH373 A Semester Spin 200 Year 018 Instructor's Name Ruchel Wafe
Major Weithe/English Minor (if applicable)
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate Student
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning
Disagree Agree
a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5 3 4 5
b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. 1 2 3 4 5 c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. 1 2 3 4 5
d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.
e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful
learning tools.
f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.
Really enjoyed this class & learned abot
and all the class of the total
two words
Wolds
2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations
Disagree Agree
a. Overall, the course was well organized.
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. 1 2 3 4 (5)
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.
CAMA AG
(OMing w/ expo markers eams a 10 for and organized.
(OMING W/ expormaters lans a 10 for and organized.) Always knew who I needed to do to do well.
(Ming w/ expormaters lans a 10 for and organized.) Always knew what I needed to do to do well. 3. Instructor's Interaction With Students
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree Agree
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree Agree
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree Agree
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree Agree a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. Disagree Agree Agree 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. Disagree Agree Agree 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I liked that you know what was up for discussion

Updated January 2012

And what wash't (Wosunism Shoulth have meaning when

connection to historical correct)

		Disagre	ee_	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3 4 (5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 1	2 2	3 4 5 3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I think a study guide for tests would have been nice

5 Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

٥.	OTOLINI Allow wood with a sound in the sound	Poor		Excellent
a. b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	_		4 (5) 4 (5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Everyone to know and Ruchel is the only professor to have that was able to teach and keep everyone engaged Without Killing everyone in the class.

Thank you, Ruchel!!

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I really feet like I understood everything.

Unlike theathe history I (w sura), I felt like This class was organized in a way that always connected theather to the context of the world. I loved this!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Pi \) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor. Year 2018 Instructor's Name Rachel Wol Minor (if applicable) Joansh/Music Status: ☐ First year Sophomore □ Junior □ Senior ☐ Graduate Student 1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning Disagree The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. 1 2 3 The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. 2 3 The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 2 The instructor presented material in a clear manner. 2 Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations Disagree Agree Overall, the course was well organized. 3 The instructor was well prepared for each class session. 2 3 1 The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities. 2 3 Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. wer & bel Instructor's Interaction With Students Disagree Agree The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. 3 2 b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. 3 The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. c. 3 1 2 The instructor led students to engage the course material. 1 2 Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. to work until we under

Updated January 2012

		Disagi	ee		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2 2	3	4 (5)	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.				. 6	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

there was ar never any major surprises

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	•	Excellent
a. b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 (5) 4 (5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

de bed that she created a fun Rasitive leaving environment. talk a little slower?

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

d think things got a little Confusing ance we were larring out af chronalogical order

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Co	urse# <u>THTR 373</u> Semester <u>SRRING</u> Year <u>2018</u> Instructor's Name <u>Rache</u>	J WO	He	,	
Ma	jor Theatre Arts Minor (if applicable)				
Stat	tus: 🛘 First year 🗀 Sophomore 🗘 Junior Senior 🗘 Graduate St	udent			
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning				
		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (3)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner	1	2	2	105

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Rachel is a great letturer and discussion leader!

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree	•		Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 5
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 5
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

We had a lot a warning about upcoming assignments, a lot of opportunities to ask questions, and the course flowed very nicely through Rachel's organization

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
	and the state of the state	1 2	3 4 (5)
	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 (5)
	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 (5)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 5
·			

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Rachel loved hearing different viewpoints. She made a very welcoming, inclusive, and non-judgemental classroom space.

		Disagree	Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1 2	3 4 5
b. c.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 2 1 2	3 4 5 3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The daily reading responses were a bit hard to keep up on, even if I did do the readings for the class but I just never yot a chance to making make a response.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

٥.	Overall histiluctor and Course Evaluation	Poor		Excellent
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .			4 5 4 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Rachel is brilliant, engaging, and so much fun to learn from ble you can tell how excited she is about the course. She does a great job with organizing her classes and making sure her students are on the same wavelength of organization.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Course was well planned and spaced out - 1 LOVED how many different playurites and authors we got to read that weren't just straight, white men. It was awesome!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course# T+TR 373 A Semester Spring Year 2018 Instructor's Name Rachel Wolfe

Major The Att Minor (if applicable) Hummunities

Status:

First year MSophomore

Junior

Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

			ee	Agree		
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 3	
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 3	
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 3	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I was always able to follow along and she encouraged us to take things seriously

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3 4 (5)
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3 4 5
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3 4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

She was aways dear

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree		Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3	4 6
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3	4 (5)
c.	and the artificial daring office noting and/or by appointment.	1 2	3	4 3
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3	4 ③
77.1				

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

encouraged opinions during discussions and was always respectful towards them.

		Disagi	ee_	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3 4 5	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3 4 (5) 3 4 (5)	
C.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1		3 4 3	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

she was always fair

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

OTOTAL MICH ACCOUNT AND COLLEGE Z. WARNING	Poor			Excellent
After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .	1 1	2 2	3	4 5 4 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

she is respectful and fair, but she could have better control and use of time during presentations and tests

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

It was fun and I unjoyed it, mough the structure could have been better.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \Box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course	# THTR 373	A Semester Ser:	Year 2	ois Instructo	r's Name Rachel W	olfe
Major	Theatre	+ French	Minor (if	applicable)		,
Status:	☐ First year		☐ Junior	Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

Disagr	ee		Agree	
1	2	3	4 (3)	
ls. 1	2	3	4 3	
ally. 1	2	3	4 (5)	
n. 1	2	3	4 (3)	
1	2	3	4 (5)	
1	2	3	4 (5)	
ć	1 ls. 1 ally. 1 n. 1	ally. 1 2 n. 1 2	1 2 3 ls. 1 2 3 ally. 1 2 3	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Very clear and well-thought out lectures and assignments

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree
	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4
	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 🛐

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The class had a very good structure

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree		Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 4	(3)
	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4	75
	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4	152
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4	75)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Great job building a space where exergone could fulk w/ you and one another

••			ree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 🐧
	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 (3)
	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Every deadline was met and but flexibitity was always

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

э.	Overall illistractor and Course Evaluation	Poor			Excellent
a. b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .		-	-	4 (5)

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

I liked this class a lot and think that Professor Wolfe does a great job teaching it!

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Good structure, jood engagement Thanks for a fun class!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.								
Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box want and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.								
Course# THTR373 Semester Spring Year 2018 Instructor's Name Rachel Wolfe								
Major Theatre, Classics, Religion Minor (if applicable)								
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S	tudent							
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagree	Agree						
 a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you 	1	3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5						
2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations	Disagree	Agree						
a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1 _ 2 _	3 4 2 5						
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 🔲 2 🔲	3 4 2 5						
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 2	3 V 4 5 5						
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. expectations not always clear								
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students	Disagree	Agree						
 a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 	1	3 \(\text{ 4 } \) 5 \\ 3 \(\text{ 4 } \) 5 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 2 \ 5 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4						
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. instructor could be more inviting/reception of students' views and ideas ex. instead of "no" asking "why do you say that?"								

Updated January 2012

		Disagree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's	1 🔲 2 🗀	3 🗸 4 🗌	5

contents and objectives.

b. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.

c. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.

1 2 3 4 5 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Everyone wanted a study guide for the midterm and it would have been really helpful to have one

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

4. Instructor's Evaluation of Students' Learning

a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor. 1 2 2 3 4 [<u>ıt</u>
w	☑ 5 🗆
b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course. 1 2 3 4 [

6. Overall Course Evaluation

- a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.
- good at getting concepts accross
- can come accross condescending

- b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.
- clearer instructions for papers
- by moving everything back a day be of one missed class, the course became disjointed and confusing. Instead, skip that class and come back to it on the extra/planned/days

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.								
in	Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box $\boxed{\cite{a}}$ and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.							
C	ourse# THTR373 Semester Spring Year 2018 Instructor's Nam	Rachel Wolfe						
M	Tajor Psychology/Theatre Arts Minor (if applicable) N/A							
St	atus: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S	Student						
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Diagram	A					
	TTG	Disagree	Agree					
a	7 1 2 2 3	1 2	3 4 5					
b	r 8	1 2	3 4 5					
C.	<i></i>	1 2	3 4 5					
d		1 2	3 4 5					
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	1 2	3 4 5					
f.		1 🔲 2 🖂	3 4 5					
Ple	Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.							
I found this class to be both challenging and engaging 2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations								
_		Disagree	Agree					
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1 🔲 2 🖂	3 4 5	5 🗹				
Ъ.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 🔲 2 🔲	3 4 5	=				
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 2	3 4 5	5 🗹				
Ple	ase explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	r ratings.						
Eve	erything was clearly layout on Moodle							
3.	Instructor's Interaction With Students	Disagree	Agree					
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5	[2]				
ъ. ъ.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.							
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.		3 4 5	빎				
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.							
			3 4 5	لگ				
Plea	Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.							

Rachel really cared that we understand and has really great answers to questions

4.	Instructor's Evaluation of Students' Learning	Disagree	A					
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	Agree 3 4 5 V					
b.		1 🔲 2 🔲	3 🗹 4 🔲 5 🔲					
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 🗆 2 🗀	3 4 5 🗹					
	ease explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your r							
Fee	edback on all of my assignments has been very thorough, but there was no study guide for	the midterm						
_	Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation							
5.	Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation	Poor	Excellent					
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor.	. 1 🔲 2 🗀	3 4 5					
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1 2	3 4 5 0					
6.	6. Overall Course Evaluation a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.							
Rachel is incredibly knowledgable, engaging, funny, understanding and just overall a great prof. She gives great feedback on papers and she really cares about us not just as students, but as people. One thing I'd add is a rubric for papers that gives us specific reasons why we got the grade we did.								

Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I've loved this course! Keep it as it is!

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal. Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.							
Course# THTR373 Semester Spring Year 2018	Instructor's Name Rachel Wolfe						
Major Theatre Arts/English	Minor (if applicable)						
Status: First year Sophomore Junior	Senior Graduate Student						
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagree Agree						
a. The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 2 3 4 7 5						
b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master re							
c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seri							
d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reli							
 Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, learning tools. 	, readings) were useful 1 2 3 4 5 5						
f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🗀 4 🗹 5 🗔						
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. 2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations							
a. Overall, the course was well organized.	Disagree Agree						
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.							
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students							
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.							
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students							
a. The instructor showed cores for the state of the state	Disagree Agree						
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understarb. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.							
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or b	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.							

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

4.	Instructor's Evaluation of Students' Learning						
		_Di	sagre	е	Ag	дгее	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1		2 🗀	3 🔽	4 🔲	5
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other	1		2 🗹	3 🔲	4 🔲	5
c.	coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1		2 🗆	3□	4 🗆	5 V
Ple	ase explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	atir	ıgs.				
5.	Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation	,	Poor		Exc	cellent	
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor	_		2 🔲	3 🗸		5 🗆
Ъ.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1		2 🔲	3 🔽	4 🗆	5 🗆
6.	Overall Course Evaluation a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should	be:	impro	ved.			
The discussion format is a nice foundation, but focus/guided questions to help students prep might facillitate better discussion, one overarching project in steps, rather than various papers, felt more manageable + successful							sion.
pa	apers could've been shorter/fewer, especially considering how the biggest ones fell during	SII					
	 Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the preparing to teach this course again. 	ne in	nstruc	tor to	know it	n	
	braharing to topour and togethe about						