To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \(\Pi \) and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	THTR371	Semester fall	Year 20 1	7 Instructor's I	Name Rachel Wolfe
Major 1	heatre/Rel	ligion	_ Minor (if ap	plicable) <u>Educ</u>	ation
Status:	☐ First year	Sophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	Disagr	ee			Agree
The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	(5)
The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>
	1	2	3	(D)	5
	1	2	3	(2)	5
				-	
Ç	1	2	3	4	(3)
The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4	3
	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 1 2	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

dssignments never felt pointless, always helped with something. Lectures always very clear and she con answer any side questions we have. Lectures and discussions set up in a way that makes sense and is helpful to apply to later lessons.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

	Disagre	e		Agree	
Overall, the course was well organized. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.				4 (3)	
The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.			_	4 (3)	
 The instructor established clear expectations of students responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Il really like the way this class was organized—it makes sense and helps foster connections between materials. Il am never undear about an assignment/what is expected.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree			Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1:02	2	3	4 🕲	
	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	i 2	2	3	4 (3)	
	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	2	3	4 (3)	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	2	3	4 🔞	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

During class discussions, she would encourage us to present our own theories and ideas. By setting up the class as lecture (M) discussion (We) discussion (fri), we got to lead our learning as well as learn from Prof. Walfe. Proflessor always a vailable by email I in person.

Updated January 2012

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 👌	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.				4 (3) 4 (3)	
C.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1)	رق +	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings

ello extraneous assignments, all assignment descriptions have been available from day 1, evaluations very thorough and helpful.

Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor			Excellent	
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 	_	_	_	4 (5) 4 (5)	

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

This course is organized and presented by the proffessor in a Vay that makes the material engaging, fun, and accessable.

Prof. Wolfe is fantastic at explaining concepts, fostering open and flowing discussion, and at drawing connections to previous material in the course. I like Professor Wolfe and her material in the course. I like Professor Wolfe and her teaching style very much, and wish it could take numerous classes with her. It would recommend her as a professor to anyone.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in

All of the assignments make sense, aren't too much, are fun and engaging, and are holpful to understanding the course material.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box [] and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course# THTR 371 Semester Fall Year 2017 Instructor's Name Rachel Wolfe om Puter Science Minor (if applicable) The atre Status: ☐ First year □ Sophomore □ Junior ■ Senior ☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

	Disagr	ee		Agree	
	1	2	3	4 (5)	
	1	2	3	4 S	
	1	2	3	4 ③	1
The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (3)	
Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful)	
learning tools.	1	2	3	4 ③	
he instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. The instructor encouraged students intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	The instructor was intellectually challenging. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 1 2 3 4 5 Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Professor Wolfe was very on top of Structuring the Class and the discussions. The flow of the class was Smooth, every assignment had a very clear point to it, and I always felt like I was learning something new. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagre	ee		Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (3)
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The syllabus and assignment descriptions were given to us Well in advance, so it was never unclear what we had to do or when we had to do it.

Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	9		Agree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4 3	
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
	and of by appointment.				4 (3)	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4 ②	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Professor Wolfe is very approachable, and I felt like the discussions had an atmosphere that encouraged everyone to Share their opinions over if it was against the majority of the grap

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1		3	4 (3)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The reading, written, and presented assignments all Very clearly had to do with new aspects of theatre history, and presented they had us going about the material indifferent ways. There was never a "useless" or unintuitive assignment.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

5. Overall little detail and Courte Distriction	Poor	Excellent
a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>.	_	4 \$ 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Very organized. Continue letting students know the assignments well in advance. Picking the groups for the grout Proverts in advance was helpful too.

Also very understanding. There was a time during the semester when I was having difficulty keeping up with my schoolwork for unrelated reasons, and I was able to approach professor Wolfe with it; she was very understanding, and he led me work out a plan to get back on track in the class.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

May be a bit more guidance on the dramaturay research paper.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course# Matre 371 Semester Fall Year 2017 Instructor's Name Pack	hel Woffe
Major Matre Minor (if applicable)	
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S	Student
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagree Agree
 a. The instructor was intellectually challenging. b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills. c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically. d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation. e. Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner. 	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your she would monotonice the subject of study with an in with defailed notes + a clear poncroont. She weaky to answer questions if she didn't know that I us shortly after clear with the am	whereting lecture e is always whe a noner; non ner. When wonny
that New aneat of Stham he usual review 2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations from	Mpresons needs 7 Disagree Agree veep
a. Overall, the course was well organized.b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 3 4 65 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
always ready to go at the now.	
3. Instructor's Interaction With Student's	Disagree Agree
 a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material. b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints. c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment. d. The instructor led students to engage the course material. 	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your r	atings.
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your re when the discussion got ont her heavest always willing to step in heavest greathons, or ground us in historical She emorraged us to ground ow o	dolineu Oferot
She envoiraged us to ground our o disagnee of necessary	Pinjons +
·)	

		Disag	ree		Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 3	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1		3	4(3)	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I liked the assignments: presentations, essays neading responses I feel rike the leaned a lot through these & not rote, boning information but I was given the chance to study what interested me a bont the maderial

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u>. b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 			4 8

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

She is Super engaged Linterested in the nathrial. She is patient but keeps the class grounded. She I think with more time she will keep even more of assect a fromded dans emmonment - own class could get pretty silly but she knows how to bring people boule. In several, She is always existed to be here & keep no engaged in the material.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

(wheel reading from both the boston the Reader

So we verent, ust stuck in the Boston.

I toned seeing violeor of Korean + Japonese

modern analogues to some of the forms he

Studied, perhaps to note thing more videos

as pont of homework could be

Inderent a Creatings one sneat bout leave us

me perpenal cone hoston that watching

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course	# THTR 371	Semester Fall	Year _2 º	Instructor's l	Name Rachel Wolfe
Major_	Theatre History	ory	_ Minor (if a	pplicable)	
Status:	☐ First year	☐ Sophomore	M Junior M M M M M M M M M M M M M	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagr	ee			Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4	5
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4	5
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4	5
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	5
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful					
	learning tools.	1	2	(3)	4	5
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (4)	5
					_	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

The instructor was over all very helpful however sometimes had diffucity supporting in class conversations. Most dass as signments were very useful however, the daily Journal entries felt unnecessary

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagree	Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2	3 4 🕏
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2	3 4 5
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2	3 4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Mostly good some expectations on assignments were not fully explained however, the instructor was aviable to help explain in y touter detail thuse sespons i bilities

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2	3 4 5
b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2	3 4 5
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2	3 4 (5)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2	3 4 5
	·		

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

This tructor some times had a hard time engaging all soulends

		Disag	ree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3 4 5	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3 4 5 3 4 5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3 (4) 5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor		Ex	cellent	
After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> . After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u> .		3			

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Instructor was very knowledgable and attentive to Students Meeds but could use asmall amount of work the leading Liscussions in class

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Course was well structured however, was some times lague on material of failed to address impostant matters the Structure of the Final project also begins with the the largest part of the assignment first which an cause More stoess and "burnout" than neccissary

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	37	Semester FUL	Year _	2017 Instructo	r's Name <u>Rowel</u>	Wolfe
Major	Theatre.	AVTS	Minor (if applicable) <u></u>	ummanities -	
Status:	☐ First year	Sophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (3)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4(5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	1	2	3	4 6
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

she took rearning very serialsly and nelped us through

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

			Disagr	ee		Agree
ſ	a.	Overall, the course was well organized.				4 (5)
	b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 (5)
	c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

the schedule was easy to follow and made class easier.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

		Disagree	Agree
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2 3	4 (5)
Ъ.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 3	4
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3	4 (5)
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

and she always listened respectfully, kept us from going too off topic, and would push us if the discussion dropped.

Updated January 2012

		Disag	ree		Agree
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other				
	coursework.	1	2	3	(4)
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

she was never unfair in grading and would always leave her door open if we needed her help with anything.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
 a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instruct</u> b. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this <u>course</u>. 		3 4 6 3

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

talking mure about and spending more time on the papers we write

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

week I knew what to expect

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

Course#	371 Ther	Semester Fall	Year <u>2</u>	017 Instruc	tor's Name Rachel Wolf.	e
Major <u>T</u>	heatre, 1	English	_Minor (if	applicable)		
Status:	☐ First year		☐ Junior	Senior	☐ Graduate Student	

1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning

			ee		Agree
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 (5)
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4 (5)
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful				
	learning tools.	1	2	3	4 (5)
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Professor Wolfe is extremely knowledgable and her Care for students' understanding is clear.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

		Disagr	ee		Agree
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1	2	3	4 (3)
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1	2	3	4 3
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1	2	3	4 5

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Very, very well structured of organized class. I always knew what was expected of me of when it was due.

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

1	2	3	4 (5)
1	2	3	4 (5)
1	2	3	4 (5)
1	2	3	4 (5)
	1 1 1	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Great, helpful Professor!

			ree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4 (5)
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4 (5)
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4 (5)

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

I thought all assignments were well thought out and really furthered & my learning. I learned so much about early theatre history! In class and outside of class.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	F				Excellent		
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor.	1	2	3	4 5		
	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.		2	3	4 5		

Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your <u>instructor</u> does best and what you think should be improved.

I think Rachel is incredibly well organized, prepared, caring, and very intelligent/knowledgable. I would definitely take another class from her and recommend her to other students.

Please provide any feedback you have about the course that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

> I loved the structure of this course and every assignment - I learned a lot

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.

Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box \square and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.

	irse#Thir 311		$_{}_{Year} \mathcal{U}$	Instructor	's Name Law	el 1		ite			
Maj	or Theat	و	Minor (if	applicable)							
Stati	Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate Student										
1.	Instructor's Promo	otion of Students'	Learning			Discour			A		
						Disagr	ee		P	gree	
_	mi · · ·	:4-11411111	lon ain a			_		_	. /	5 1	
a.	The instructor was	intellectually chall	enging.			1	2	3	4/	2 11	
a. b.			~ ~	er relevant concep	ts and skills.	1 1	2	3	4/	5	
_	The instructor was The instructor enco	skilled in helping	students maste			1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4	5 5	
b.	The instructor was	skilled in helping souraged students to	students maste take learning	seriously and to t	hink critically.	1 1 1 1		3 3 3	4	5 5 5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

- Good disussion and class fotup

The instructor presented material in a clear manner.

2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations

	 	Disagr	ee	-	- 2	gred	
a. Overall, the course was well organizeb. The instructor was well prepared forc. The instructor established clear expension		1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

- Organized extraly

3. Instructor's Interaction With Students

learning tools.

		Disagr	ee		A	eree	
a.	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1	2	3	4	5	
Ъ.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	5	
c.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	3	4	5	
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1	2	3	4	5	
_						1	1

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

- understanding

		Disagr	ee			Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives.	1	2	3	4	5	
b.	The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework.	1	2	3	4	5	
c.	The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1	2	3	4	5	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.



5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

	Poor	Excellent
a. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of yob. After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this		4 6 5

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

- Careet Professor, interesting class

Try not to cell people at in group grades

it is a lienating

The dremating project is a little averboards

especially believe this is not a dramatory (ho)

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

grad class

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your instructor. The information provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It will also be used by the instructor for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encouraged to respond thoughtfully, to take this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for you to reflect and provide an honest appraisal.						
ins	Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box value and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.					
Co	ourse# THTR 371 Semester Fall Year 2017 Instructor's Nam	e Rachel	Wolfe			
	ajor Theatre Arts/English Minor (if applicable)					
Sta	atus: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S	tudent				
1.	Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	Disagre	e		Agre	e
a.	The instructor was intellectually challenging.	1 🔲	2	3	4 🗸	5 🔲
b.	The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.	1 🔲	2	3	4 🔲	5 🗹
C.	The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.	1	2	3	4 🔲	5 🔽
d.	The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1	2	3	4	5 🗹
e.	Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools.	1 🔲	2	3	4 🗸	5 🔲
f.	The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 🔲	2 🗆	3	4 🔲	5 🗹
Ple	ase explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to you	r ratings.				
Rac	thel gave very specific, focused, and comprehensive feedback, as well as assignments in	structions	•			
2.	Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations					
2.	instructor's Organization and Abinty to Establish Clear Expectations	Disagree			Agree	;
a.	Overall, the course was well organized.	1 🖂	2 🗖	3	4 🖂	51
b.	The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 🗀	2 🗀	3	4 🔽	5
c.	The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 🔲	2 🔲	3	4 🔲	5 🗹
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.						
I would have preferred maybe 1 or 2 more guiding questions during our discussions.						
3.	Instructor's Interaction With Students	Discour			A	
9	The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	Disagr		2 🗖	Agree	<u>- </u>
a. b.	The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1	2 _ 2	3 🔲	4 🛂	5 L
о. с.	The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1	2	³ □	<u> </u>	5 区
d.	The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 🗖	2 🗖	3 🖂	4 🗖	5 🗹
	-0-0				_	

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

Instructor's Evaluation of Students' Learning	Disagre	ee	Agree	
Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's	1 🔲	2 🔲	3 🔲 4 🖪	5 🗆
The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other	1 🔲	2 🔲	3 4	2 5□
The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 🗆	2 🗆	3□ 4□	5 🗹
-				
first part of the dramaturgy file came pretty early in the semester, given the length and exp neartening, but Rachel's feedback helped prepare me for the Files other components	ectation	of rese	earch. It was	s a little
Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation	Poor		Exceller	
After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your <u>instructor</u> .	1 🔲	2 🔲	3 🔲 4	_ 5 V
After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1 🖂	$2 \square$	3 🗍 4	☑ 5 🗆
	1			
Overall Course Evaluation a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be	e impro	oved.		
			l energy.	
	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work. ase explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your rafirst part of the dramaturgy file came pretty early in the semester, given the length and explanatening, but Rachel's feedback helped prepare me for the Files other components Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work. 1 asse explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. first part of the dramaturgy file came pretty early in the semester, given the length and expectation heartening, but Rachel's feedback helped prepare me for the Files other components Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation Poor After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's 1 2 contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work. 1 2 coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work. 1 2 coursework as explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. first part of the dramaturgy file came pretty early in the semester, given the length and expectation of resemble artening, but Rachel's feedback helped prepare me for the Files other components Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation Poor After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor. 1 2 coursework. Poor	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's 1 2 3 4 contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work. The instructor did a thorough j

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

Some of the readings felt like busy work, perhaps doing selections from the larger plays could help foster more focused discussion.

To the Student: The evaluation you are about to write is an important document for your provided will be used by the university in the evaluation of your instructor's teaching. It is for improving course structure and teaching. Your evaluation does count. You are encountake this evaluation seriously, and to provide written remarks; we have allowed time for your appraisal.	vill also be used by the aged to respond thou	ne instructor ughtfully, to			
Your instructor will not see these evaluation forms until after he or she has turned in final grades. If you do not want the instructor to see your hand-written form, check this box and your responses will be typed before it is given to the instructor.					
Course# THTR 371 Semester Fall Year 2017 Instructor's Name	Rachel Wolfe				
Major UNDECLARED Minor (if applicable)					
Status: First year Sophomore Junior Senior Graduate S	tudent				
1. Instructor's Promotion of Students' Learning	D'				
	Disagree	Agree			
a. The instructor was intellectually challenging.					
b. The instructor was skilled in helping students master relevant concepts and skills.		4 2 5			
c. The instructor encouraged students to take learning seriously and to think critically.		4 5 5			
d. The instructor encouraged students' intellectual self-reliance and self-motivation.	1 2 3				
 Class assignments (e.g., homework, lab reports, papers, readings) were useful learning tools. 	1 2 3	4 5 0			
f. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	1 2 3	☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☑			
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	r ratings.				
I do not find the material challenging. The class assignments always were used in class /were relevant. She did encourage us to learn intently and try out best. Everything was clear.					
2. Instructor's Organization and Ability to Establish Clear Expectations	Disagree	Agree			
a. Overall, the course was well organized.	1 2 3	_ 4 _ 5 V			
b. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	1 2 3	4 5 🔽			
c. The instructor established clear expectations of students' responsibilities.	1 2 3	4 D 5 V			
Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your	•				
Course was well organized and we were clear about our responsibilities. Rachel was always prepared, and often came with extra fun relevant information.					
3. Instructor's Interaction With Students	Disagree	Agree			
a. The instructor showed concern for the students' understanding of the material.	1 2 3	7 4 D 5 V			
b. The instructor was respectful of a variety of viewpoints.	1 2 3	7 4 10 5 1			
c. The instructor was available during office hours and/or by appointment.	1 2 3 3	4 0 5 0			
d. The instructor led students to engage the course material.	1 2 3	4 🗹 5 🔲			
Please explain the choices you checked shove with comments that halp give contact to your	4*				

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings. Rachel was always available and ready to help.

4.	Instructor's	Evaluation	of Students'	Learning
----	--------------	-------------------	--------------	----------

		Disagree	Agree	
a.	Tests, quizzes, papers, and other coursework, etc., were consistent with the course's	1 🔲 2 🔲	3 V 4 V	5 🗆
b.	contents and objectives. The instructor provided reasonable preparation for tests, quizzes, papers and other	1 🔲 2 🔲	3 4 🗸	5 🗆
c.	coursework. The instructor did a thorough job of evaluating my work.	1 🗆 2 🗆	3 4 🗹	5 🗆

Please explain the choices you checked above with comments that help give context to your ratings.

We were told of all papers far in advance, but then we were left alone with them. I would have like more guidance. Class/home work was consistent i thought the final paper/file wasn't as engrained in what we learned. Projects were relevant.

5. Overall Instructor and Course Evaluation

		Poor	Excellent
a.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of your instructor.	1 2 2	3 4 🗸 5 🗌
b.	After carefully considering the items above, provide an overall rating of this course.	1 🔲 2 🗀	3 🗹 4 🔲 5 🔲

6. Overall Course Evaluation

a. Please describe what you think your instructor does best and what you think should be improved.

Rachel loves what she teaches with creates an awesome learning evironment. I think sometimes she can be condescending in not helpful while learning. I think she should provide more guidance for papers. The way she set up the class was great, and I like reading such a large variety of plays.

b. Please provide any feedback you have about the <u>course</u> that would be helpful for the instructor to know in preparing to teach this course again.

I think it might be more helpful if the final had more to do with specifics learned in class. I slightly feel like someone could not come to class and still do all outside assignments. I want there to be a stronger link between the two. The information learned in class is interesting, but almost seems pointless in relation to the larger graded assignments.